

No. 17-1717, No. 18-18

---

---

In The  
**Supreme Court of the United States**

—◆—  
THE AMERICAN LEGION, *et al.*,

*Petitioners,*

v.

AMERICAN HUMANIST ASSOCIATION, *et al.*,

*Respondents.*

and

MARYLAND-NATIONAL CAPITAL PARK AND  
PLANNING COMMISSION,

*Petitioner,*

v.

AMERICAN HUMANIST ASSOCIATION, *et al.*

*Respondents.*

—◆—  
**On Petitions for Writ of Certiorari  
to the United States Court of Appeals  
for the Fourth Circuit**

—◆—  
**BRIEF OF 109 UNITED STATES SENATORS AND  
MEMBERS OF THE UNITED STATES HOUSE OF  
REPRESENTATIVES AS AMICI CURIAE IN  
SUPPORT OF PETITIONERS**

—◆—  
CHARLES J. COOPER  
*Counsel of Record*  
DAVID H. THOMPSON  
HALEY N. PROCTOR  
COOPER & KIRK, PLLC  
1523 New Hampshire Ave., N.W.  
Washington, D.C. 20036  
(202) 220-9600  
ccooper@cooperkirk.com

*Counsel for Amici Curiae*

July 27, 2017

---

---

**TABLE OF CONTENTS**

	<b><u>Page</u></b>
TABLE OF AUTHORITIES.....	ii
INTEREST OF AMICI CURIAE .....	1
SUMMARY OF THE ARGUMENT.....	1
ARGUMENT .....	3
I. The Decision Below Disregards This Court’s Establishment Clause Jurisprudence. ....	3
II. The Decision Below Would Jeopardize Countless Federal Monuments, Historic Places, and National Traditions. ....	8
1. <i>WWI Crosses</i> .....	8
2. <i>Other Crosses</i> .....	14
3. <i>Other Religious Symbols and Traditions</i> .....	19
CONCLUSION .....	24
APPENDIX A.....	1a

**TABLE OF AUTHORITIES**

<b><u>Cases</u></b>	<b><u>Page</u></b>
<i>County of Allegheny v. ACLU Greater Pittsburgh Chapter</i> , 492 U.S. 573 (1989) .....	6, 21, 22
<i>Engel v. Vitale</i> , 370 U.S. 421 (1962) .....	22
<i>Lee v. Weisman</i> , 505 U.S. 577 (1992) .....	22
<i>Lynch v. Donnelly</i> , 465 U.S. 668 (1984) .....	<i>passim</i>
<i>McCreary Cty. v. ACLU of Ky.</i> , 545 U.S. 844 (2005) .....	4, 5, 22, 23
<i>Salazar v. Buono</i> , 559 U.S. 700 (2010) .....	<i>passim</i>
<i>School Dist. of Abington Twp. v. Schempp</i> , 374 U.S. 203 (1963) .....	23
<i>Town of Greece v. Galloway</i> , 134 S. Ct. 1811 (2014) .....	<i>passim</i>
<i>Van Orden v. Perry</i> , 545 U.S. 677 (2005) .....	<i>passim</i>
<i>Walz v. Tax Comm'n of New York</i> , 397 U.S. 664 (1970) .....	8
<i>Zorach v. Clauson</i> , 343 U.S. 306 (1952) .....	1, 2, 3
 <b><u>Constitutional Provisions, Statutes, Treaties &amp; Legislative Materials</u></b>	
U.S. CONST. amend. I .....	1
4 U.S.C. § 4 .....	22
5 U.S.C. § 6103 .....	22
10 U.S.C. § 3742 .....	16
§ 6242 .....	16

§ 6245 .....	16
31 U.S.C. § 5112 .....	22
36 U.S.C.	
§ 116(b)(1) .....	23
§ 119 .....	23
§ 301(a) .....	22
§ 302 .....	22
§ 300101(a) .....	18, 19
An Act To Establish the Channel Islands National Park, and for Other Purposes, 1980, Pub. L. No. 96-199, § 106, 94 Stat. 67.....	21
Consolidated Appropriations Act, 2005, Pub. L. No. 108-447, § 116(a), 118 Stat. 3346 .....	13
Distinguished Flying Cross National Memorial Act, 2014, Pub. L. No. 113-132, 128 Stat. 1727....	16
Department of Defense Appropriations Act, 2004, Pub. L. No. 108-87, § 8121, 117 Stat. 1100.....	13
Federal Fallen Firefighters' Memorial, 1990, Pub. L. No. 101-347, 104 Stat. 398 .....	15
Preservation of Mt. Soledad Veterans Memorial, Pub. L. No. 109-272, 120 Stat. 770 .....	13
Geneva Convention (I) for the Amelioration of the Condition of the Wounded in Armies in the Field, art. 7, Aug. 22, 1864 .....	18
152 CONG. REC. H5244-02, 2006 WL 2009154 (2006).....	14

**Other**

<i>3d Cavalry Regiment</i> , THE INSTITUTE OF HERALDRY, DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY (on file with counsel).....	17
<i>3d Massachusetts Cavalry Regiment</i> , STONE SENTINELS, <a href="http://goo.gl/nmKiai">http://goo.gl/nmKiai</a> .....	14
<i>5th Cavalry Regiment</i> , THE INSTITUTE OF HERALDRY, DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY (on file with counsel).....	17
<i>12th Connecticut Volunteer Infantry Regiment</i> , STONE SENTINELS, <a href="http://goo.gl/t0rtkT">http://goo.gl/t0rtkT</a> .....	14
<i>13th Connecticut Volunteer Infantry Regiment</i> , STONE SENTINELS, <a href="http://goo.gl/hq9qYA">http://goo.gl/hq9qYA</a> .....	14
<i>Argonne Cross</i> , ARLINGTON NATIONAL CEMETERY (on file with counsel).....	9
<i>Bible Quote Carving</i> , CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE AGENCY, <a href="https://goo.gl/w3B7GY">https://goo.gl/w3B7GY</a> .....	21
<i>Canadian Cross of Sacrifice</i> , ARLINGTON NATIONAL CEMETERY, <a href="http://goo.gl/R0wVIJ">http://goo.gl/R0wVIJ</a> .....	9, 10
<i>Cape Henry Memorial Cross</i> , NATIONAL PARK SERVICE, <a href="https://goo.gl/pBrYnJ">https://goo.gl/pBrYnJ</a> .....	16
<i>Carved on These Walls</i> , NATIONAL LAW ENFORCEMENT OFFICERS MEMORIAL FUND, <a href="http://goo.gl/3B5Tr">http://goo.gl/3B5Tr</a> .....	20, 21
<i>Chaplains Hill and Monuments</i> , ARLINGTON NATIONAL CEMETERY, <a href="http://goo.gl/GwF7B4">http://goo.gl/GwF7B4</a> .....	15
<i>City Point National Cemetery</i> , NATIONAL CEMETERY ADMINISTRATION, <a href="http://goo.gl/28BKQw">http://goo.gl/28BKQw</a> .....	14

<i>Coast Guard Cross</i> , THE INSTITUTE OF HERALDRY, DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY (on file with counsel).....	16
<i>Command Crest, USS Mason</i> , U.S. NAVY, <a href="http://goo.gl/3Rar29">http://goo.gl/3Rar29</a> .....	16
<i>Eternal Father—The “Navy Hymn,”</i> U.S. NAVY, <a href="http://goo.gl/t9zSm6">http://goo.gl/t9zSm6</a> .....	20
<i>Lincoln’s Second Inaugural</i> , NATIONAL PARK SERVICE, <a href="https://goo.gl/hhmJc1">https://goo.gl/hhmJc1</a> .....	21
<i>Mission &amp; Values</i> , AMERICAN RED CROSS, <a href="http://goo.gl/qVW5FY">http://goo.gl/qVW5FY</a> .....	19
THE NATIONAL COMMISSION OF FINE ARTS, NINTH REPORT (1921) .....	9
THE NATIONAL COMMISSION OF FINE ARTS, TENTH REPORT (1926) .....	9
<i>National Fallen Firefighters Memorial</i> , NATIONAL FALLEN FIREFIGHTERS FOUNDATION, <a href="http://goo.gl/1HbqAW">http://goo.gl/1HbqAW</a> .....	15
<i>On These Walls</i> , LIBRARY OF CONGRESS, <a href="https://goo.gl/z12VKc">https://goo.gl/z12VKc</a> .....	22
Philip Kennicott, <i>Memorials to World War I May Be Less Obvious, But More Meaningful</i> , WASH. POST (Aug. 8, 2014), <a href="https://goo.gl/goyEZI">https://goo.gl/goyEZI</a> .....	9
<i>Ronald Reagan Funeral Service</i> , C-SPAN, <a href="http://goo.gl/tluEDW">http://goo.gl/tluEDW</a> .....	20
<i>Sixth Army Corps</i> , STONE SENTINELS, <a href="http://goo.gl/48fyry">http://goo.gl/48fyry</a> .....	14, 15
<i>Space Shuttle Challenger Memorial</i> , ARLINGTON NATIONAL CEMETERY, <a href="http://goo.gl/0lpn1j">http://goo.gl/0lpn1j</a> .....	19, 20

<i>Spanish-American War Monument</i> , ARLINGTON NATIONAL CEMETERY, <a href="http://goo.gl/9zp5dn">http://goo.gl/9zp5dn</a> .....	20
<i>Spanish-American War Nurses Monument</i> , ARLINGTON NATIONAL CEMETERY, <a href="http://goo.gl/xQruDR">http://goo.gl/xQruDR</a> .....	15
<i>The Tomb of the Unknown Soldier</i> , ARLINGTON NATIONAL CEMETERY, <a href="http://goo.gl/iX2BAZ">http://goo.gl/iX2BAZ</a> .....	19
U.S. DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE, THE ROBERT F. KENNEDY BUILDING, <i>available at</i> <a href="https://goo.gl/jZxe8R">https://goo.gl/jZxe8R</a> .....	22
<i>USS Arleigh Burke</i> , THE INSTITUTE OF HERALDRY, DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY (on file with counsel).....	16
<i>USS Cape St. George</i> , THE INSTITUTE OF HERALDRY, DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY (on file with counsel) .	17
<i>USS Pearl Harbor</i> , THE INSTITUTE OF HERALDRY, DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY (on file with counsel).....	17
<i>USS Rentz, Honoring 30 Years of Naval Service and the History Behind Its Namesake</i> , THE OFFICIAL BLOG OF THE U.S. NAVY CHAPLAIN CORPS (on file with counsel) .....	17, 18
<i>What We Do</i> , AMERICAN RED CROSS, <a href="http://goo.gl/f1lt5r">http://goo.gl/f1lt5r</a> .....	19
<i>Winchester National Cemetery</i> , NATIONAL CEMETERY ADMINISTRATION, <a href="http://goo.gl/TCvPwf">http://goo.gl/TCvPwf</a> .....	14

## INTEREST OF AMICI CURIAE<sup>1</sup>

Amici curiae are a bipartisan group of one hundred and nine United States Senators and Members of the United States Congress. They are listed alphabetically in the Appendix to this brief. As elected representatives of “a religious people whose institutions presuppose a Supreme Being,” *Zorach v. Clauson*, 343 U.S. 306, 313 (1952), amici wish to preserve the “unbroken history of official acknowledgment by all three branches of government of the role of religion in American life . . . .” *Lynch v. Donnelly*, 465 U.S. 668, 674 (1984). Amici are concerned that the interpretation of the Establishment Clause reflected in the decision below would threaten federal displays and traditions that incorporate religious words and images, including several in the U.S. Capitol, and thereby disrespect the shared history and values those displays commemorate.

## SUMMARY OF THE ARGUMENT

The Establishment Clause of the First Amendment provides that “Congress shall make no law respecting an establishment of religion.” U.S. CONST. amend. I. It does not require an absolute separation of Church and State. *Zorach v. Clauson*,

---

<sup>1</sup> Pursuant to SUP. CT. R. 37.2(a), amicus certifies that counsel of record for all parties received timely notice of the intent to file this brief; and that all parties have given written consent to the filing of this brief. Pursuant to SUP. CT. R. 37.6, amicus certifies that no counsel for any party authored this brief in whole or in part, no party or party’s counsel made a monetary contribution to fund its preparation or submission, and no person other than amici or their counsel made such a monetary contribution.



343 U.S. 306, 313 (1952). To the contrary, “[t]here is an unbroken history of official acknowledgment by all three branches of government of the role of religion in American life from at least 1789.” *Lynch v. Donnelly*, 465 U.S. 668, 674 (1984). To “disabl[e]” the government from acknowledging an important aspect of our history, merely because to do so involves the use of religious symbolism, is to “evinced a hostility to religion” that is inconsistent with the Establishment Clause. *Van Orden v. Perry*, 545 U.S. 677, 683–84 (2005) (plurality opinion); *see also id.* at 699 (Breyer, J., concurring in the judgment); *id.* at 711 (Stevens, J., dissenting).

Despite this clear principle, which has provided a fixed point of agreement in an otherwise fractured jurisprudence, a divided panel of the United States Court of Appeals for the Fourth Circuit adopted what amounts to a *per se* rule that the display of crosses on government property violates the Establishment Clause. This rule of decision will require the destruction, disfigurement, or removal of a cherished memorial for those who gave their lives for this country (“the Memorial”).

This Court should grant the petitions for writs of certiorari because the court below decided an important federal question in a way that conflicts with this Court’s decisions by disregarding the “historical practices and understandings” of crosses as symbols of courage, sacrifice, and remembrance. *See Town of Greece v. Galloway*, 134 S. Ct. 1811, 1819 (2014).

Action by this Court is especially critical because the decision below calls into question the constitutionality of countless federal monuments, historic places, and national traditions that use a

cross or other “inherently religious” symbols or language to commemorate our nation’s history and to reflect values shared by the American people.

## ARGUMENT

### I. The Decision Below Disregards This Court’s Establishment Clause Jurisprudence.

This Court has consistently declined to interpret the Establishment Clause in a way that would sweep away the countless references to religion “that run through our laws, our public rituals, [and] our ceremonies.” *Zorach*, 343 U.S. at 313. It has acknowledged that, when the state “respects the religious nature of our people and accommodates the public service to their spiritual needs,” “it follows the best of our traditions.” *Id.* at 314.

Most recently, in *Town of Greece v. Galloway*, this Court reaffirmed that the practice of legislative prayer is permissible under the Establishment Clause. 134 S. Ct. at 1828. In doing so, the Court relied on “our history and tradition,” which demonstrate that legislative prayer can “coexist with the principles of disestablishment and religious freedom.” *Id.* at 1820 (alteration omitted). Significantly, even though the prayers at issue in that case had sectarian content, the Court concluded that their “religious themes provide[d] particular means to universal ends” and that they therefore could “still serve to solemnize the occasion.” *Id.* at 1823. The dissenters did not reject this premise; instead, they dissented on the fact-intensive grounds that the town had “failed to make reasonable efforts to include prayer givers of minority faiths.” *Id.* at 1841 (Breyer,

J., dissenting); *see also id.* at 1851 (Kagan, J., dissenting). In doing so, they were careful to acknowledge the value of overtly religious traditions. *See id.* at 1838–39 (Breyer, J.); *Id.* at 1850–51 (Kagan, J.).

As the substantial agreement between the majority and dissent suggests, *Town of Greece* reflects this Court’s consistent practice of looking to the history and context of official acknowledgments of religion, rather than adopting bright-line rules that require the state to purge religion from the public sphere. This practice applies equally to the Court’s approach to religious displays, as reflected in its most recent decisions on that subject.

In a pair of decisions in 2005, the Court considered whether certain displays of the Ten Commandments on public property violated the Establishment Clause. *See Van Orden v. Perry*, 545 U.S. 677 (2005); *McCreary Cty. v. ACLU of Ky.*, 545 U.S. 844 (2005). Although fractured, the opinions in these cases uniformly acknowledged that the Establishment Clause does not prohibit prominent displays of religious symbols. *See Van Orden*, 545 U.S. at 690 (plurality opinion) (“Simply having religious content or promoting a message consistent with a religious doctrine does not run afoul of the Establishment Clause.”); *id.* at 692 (Scalia, J., concurring) (joining the plurality because it “accurately reflects our current Establishment Clause jurisprudence”); *id.* (Thomas, J., concurring) (joining the plurality because it “properly recognizes the role of religion in this Nation’s history and the permissibility of government displays acknowledging that history”); *id.* at 701 (Breyer, J.) (upholding the

display because it “convey[s] not simply a religious message but also a secular moral message” and “historical message”); *id.* at 711 (Stevens, J.) (“The wall that separates the church from the State does not prohibit the government from acknowledging the religious beliefs and practices of the American people, nor does it require governments to hide works of art or historic memorabilia from public view just because they also have religious significance.”); *id.* at 737 (Souter, J., dissenting) (“A governmental display of an obviously religious text cannot be squared with neutrality, except in a setting that plausibly indicates that the statement is not placed in view with a predominant purpose on the part of government either to adopt the religious message or to urge its acceptance by others.”); *id.* (O’Connor, J., dissenting) (agreeing with Justice Souter); *McCreary Cty.*, 545 U.S. at 867 (acknowledging that the Court’s precedents “did not purport to decide the constitutionality of every possible way the Commandments might be set out by the government, and [that] under the Establishment Clause detail is key”); *id.* at 883 (O’Connor, J., concurring) (basing her concurrence on “the history of this particular display of the Ten Commandments”); *id.* at 907 (Scalia, J., dissenting) (“Perhaps in recognition of the centrality of the Ten Commandments as a widely recognized symbol of religion in public life, the Court is at pains to dispel the impression that its decision will require governments across the country to sandblast the Ten Commandments from the public square.”).

More recently, in *Salazar v. Buono*, the Court considered a challenge to a Latin cross that had been erected by World War I (“WWI”) veterans on federal land and subsequently designated as a national

memorial. 559 U.S. 700, 705–06 (2010). Although the Court’s decision turned on the standards for injunctive relief, three members of the Court found occasion to comment on the standard by which religious displays on public land are judged under the Establishment Clause. Justice Kennedy, joined by the Chief Justice and Justice Alito, criticized the district court for “concentrat[ing] solely on the religious aspects of the cross, divorced from its background and context.” *Id.* at 702. He acknowledged that a cross was “certainly a [religious] symbol,” but concluded that it “was not emplaced on Sunrise Rock to promote a Christian message,” or “to set the *imprimatur* of the state on a particular creed.” *Id.* at 715; *see also id.* at 725 (Alito, J., concurring).

Although the Court below purported to consider the background and context of the Memorial, it gave dispositive weight to the cross’s “inherent religious meaning.” Pet. App. 17a–18a. But permitting the religious meaning of the cross to overwhelm the Memorial’s history and context cannot be squared with this Court’s Establishment Clause jurisprudence. Even the endorsement test—which a majority of this Court has found to be unhelpful in dealing with passive monuments like the Memorial, *Van Orden*, 545 U.S. at 686 (plurality); *id.* at 699–700 (Breyer, J.)—does not contemplate an absolute prohibition on the use of inherently religious symbols on government property. *See County of Allegheny v. ACLU Greater Pittsburgh Chapter*, 492 U.S. 573, 595 (1989) (the endorsement inquiry “turns upon the context,” which, “though not neutralizing the religious content” of religious imagery, may “negat[e] any message of endorsement of that content”), *abrogated by Town of Greece v. Galloway*, 134 S. Ct. 1811 (2014).

What is more, it evinces a hostility to religion that the Constitution forbids. The Constitution does not “require complete separation of church and state; it affirmatively mandates accommodation, not merely tolerance, of all religions, and forbids hostility toward any.” *Lynch*, 465 U.S. at 673. “Anything less would require the callous indifference [the Court has] said was never intended by the Establishment Clause.” *Id.* (quotation marks omitted). The Court has warned that “such hostility would bring [it] into war with our national tradition as embodied in the First Amendment’s guaranty of the free exercise of religion.” *Id.* (quotation marks omitted).

Requiring the destruction, disfigurement, or removal of the Memorial would serve as “an arresting symbol of a Government that is not neutral but hostile on matters of religion and is bent on eliminating from all public places and symbols any trace of our country’s religious heritage.” *Buono*, 559 U.S. at 726 (Alito, J.). Moreover, as set forth below, the rule the Fourth Circuit implicitly adopted would require the destruction of so many monuments, the erasure of so many symbols, the abandonment of so many traditions, irrespective of their context and secular meaning to millions of Americans, and solely because of their religious derivation, that it would unavoidably ignite the very “kind of social conflict the Establishment Clause seeks to avoid.” *Van Orden*, 545 U.S. at 699 (Breyer, J.).

## II. The Decision Below Would Jeopardize Countless Federal Monuments, Historic Places, and National Traditions.

The cross at the center of this case is part of a memorial honoring the young men from Prince George’s County who perished fighting for their country in WWI. It is emblazoned with the words “VALOR,” “ENDURANCE,” “COURAGE,” and “DEVOTION,” and inscribed with a description of the cause to which the fallen gave their last full measure of devotion. Pet. App. 42a. It stands among other tributes—large and small—to the sacrifices of other brave men and women in other conflicts. Pet. 7–8. And it has stood, unchallenged, throughout its nearly century-long life. Pet. 28.

The Memorial is not the only cross at stake, however. The Federal Government frequently uses crosses as symbols of courage, sacrifice, and remembrance, or otherwise permits commemorative crosses to stand on federal land. These and a wealth of other examples of religious imagery or language in our national monuments and traditions confirm that the public display of religious symbols can “coexist with the principles of disestablishment and religious freedom.” *Town of Greece*, 134 S. Ct. at 1820 (alteration omitted). As this Court has repeatedly emphasized, in illuminating the requirements of the Establishment Clause, a “page of history” is worth a “volume of logic.” *Walz v. Tax Comm’n of New York*, 397 U.S. 664, 681 (1970).

### 1. WWI Crosses

Perhaps most relevant are two crosses that stand in Arlington National Cemetery in Virginia. Like their neighbor to the north, these crosses honor Americans who died in WWI. The first is the Argonne Cross, a 13-foot-tall Latin cross of white marble. *Argonne Cross*, ARLINGTON NATIONAL CEMETERY (on file with counsel). The memorial was approved by the National Commission of Fine Arts and erected by the Argonne unit of the American Women’s Legion. THE NATIONAL COMMISSION OF FINE ARTS, NINTH REPORT 70 (1921); THE NATIONAL COMMISSION OF FINE ARTS, TENTH REPORT 69 (1926). It was dedicated on November 13, 1923, “[i]n memory of our men in France.” NATIONAL COMMISSION OF FINE ARTS, TENTH REPORT 69. Nearly a century later, the Argonne Cross stands as “a silent reminder of the largest, bloodiest, and most important battle fought by American troops during the war—and the final push that helped compel Germany to surrender.” Philip Kennicott, *Memorials to World War I May Be Less Obvious, But More Meaningful*, WASH. POST (Aug. 8, 2014), <https://goo.gl/goyEZI>.<sup>2</sup> “Nearby, grave markers are inscribed with dates from October and November 1918, when more than a million American troops engaged in the Argonne offensive.” *Id.* The pine grove that stands around the memorial is “symbolic of the Argonne Forest where many of the men fought” and died. *Argonne Cross*, *supra*.

The second is the Canadian Cross of Sacrifice, a 24-foot granite cross. *Canadian Cross of Sacrifice*, ARLINGTON NATIONAL CEMETERY, <http://goo.gl/R0wVIJ>. The Canadian government donated this memorial in 1927 to honor Americans

---

<sup>2</sup> All websites accessed July 20, 2018.



who had sacrificed their lives while in Canadian forces, many before the United States even entered the war. *Id.* The memorial is in the form of a “sword of sacrifice,” which consists of an “adapted Celtic cross,” with “a chivalric metal sword at its core.” CA JA1903.<sup>3</sup> “Swords of sacrifice” have been erected around the world in honor of those who died in WWI. CA JA1905. Over the years, the Canadian Cross has been inscribed with additional dedications to honor those who served in World War II and the Korean War. *Canadian Cross of Sacrifice, supra.*

As with the Bladensburg Memorial, the crosses used for these two memorials have special significance derived from the historical context in which they were erected. Courts have routinely recognized that “a Latin cross is not merely a reaffirmation of Christian beliefs.” *Buono*, 559 U.S. at 721 (Kennedy, J.). “It is a symbol often used to honor and respect those whose heroic acts, noble contributions, and patient striving help secure an honored place in history for this Nation and its people.” *Id.* The crosses that emerged after WWI, however, were imbued with added significance.

The Cross of Sacrifice, for example, “was seen as Christian by some, and chivalric by others.” CA JA1905. It was also a “throwback” to individual combat that, after an industrialized, mechanized war, “attached to every single soldier lost in the war the notion that he gave his life for a noble cause.” *Id.* Although its meaning is multifaceted, at bottom, “[t]his cross turned into a sword is not a call to religious belief but a symbol of the void created by the Great War.” CA JA1908.

---

<sup>3</sup> Citations to the record below are given as “CA JA\_\_.”

And even today, the “plain unadorned white” Argonne Cross still “no doubt evoke[s] the unforgettable image of the white crosses, row on row, that mark[ ] the final resting places of so many American soldiers who fell in” World War I and were buried overseas—as it did when it was erected “for those with searing memories of The Great War.” *Buono*, 559 U.S. at 725 (Alito, J.); *see also* CA JA1895 & n.13. Indeed, for bereaved families with no gravesite to visit, or for those without means to travel to a distant overseas grave, memorial crosses served—and continue to serve—as symbolic gravesites and places of homecoming. CA JA1894–95. As the American Legion explained in a resolution adopted in the early 1920s,

[C]rosses represent the symbol of America’s sacrifice in the World War, and have been the inspiration of our great war poems, and were first improvised and erected by our comrades in the field and are fixed in the minds of the gold star fathers and mothers and the Nation as an impressive emblem of sacrifice for country and humanity.

CA JA2284.

When it came time for the U.S. Government to erect permanent markers on the graves of American Soldiers, Sailors, Airmen, and Marines buried in Europe, Congress took special note of the symbolic meaning that the Latin crosses had for the families and comrades-in-arms of the dead. When the servicemen were first buried, their graves had been marked, first with improvised crosses, and then with

uniform wooden crosses and Stars of David. CA JA2281 (H.R. Res. 15, 68th Cong. at 2 (1924)). Congressman Piatt Andrew noted that “[t]hose markers were distinctively symbols of the World War. They were different from civilian grave markers, and they became particularly identified in the thoughts of everybody with those who had made the great sacrifice in the World War.” *Id.*; see also CA JA2284 (Statement of Mr. John Thomas Taylor, Vice Chairman, American Legion, Legislative Committee) (describing the symbolism of a cross for his fellow infantrymen); CA JA2285 (Statement of Mr. Lloyd M. Brett, Commander in Chief, VFW) (same); CA JA2291 (Letter from Mrs. George Gordon Seibold to Hon. Piatt Andrew (Mar. 12, 1924)) (noting that servicemen were issued “two pieces of wood to form a cross for a fallen hero”). One mother who had the opportunity to visit her son’s overseas grave captured the feeling well when she explained that,

at sunset, and just as the rays of the sun fell on the beautiful American flag and those glistening crosses[,] it brought home to her the fact that her son was lying ever on duty in France, that there was something wonderful and brave back of that sacrifice, that his spirit and self-sacrifice would live.

CA JA2287 (Statement of Mrs. Franklin Lee Bishop, National President, American Legion Auxiliary).

Congressional records of the debate surrounding the choice of permanent markers reveal that the push to maintain crosses was animated primarily by a desire to honor this association, not by

a desire to advance religion. CA JA2281–83 (discussing methods of representing the religious beliefs of the individuals whose graves were marked); CA JA2292 (Letter of Bishop James E. Freeman to Mrs. James Carroll Frazer (Mar. 12, 1924)) (“Practically all around the world the cross is regarded as the supreme symbol of sacrifice. This, independent of any religious belief, would justify its use.”).

For similar reasons, Congress has sought to protect memorial crosses around the Nation that were erected in remembrance of WWI and other conflicts. For example, in response to an injunction ordering the removal of a memorial cross in Mojave National Preserve—the memorial cross at issue in *Buono*—Congress enacted legislation transferring the federal land on which the memorial was located to private owners in order to maintain the cross “as a memorial commemorating United States participation in World War I and honoring the American veterans of that war.” Department of Defense Appropriations Act, 2004, Pub. L. No. 108-87, § 8121, 117 Stat. 1100. In another case, Congress enacted legislation designating the Mt. Soledad Veterans Memorial in San Diego as “a national memorial honoring veterans of the United States Armed Forces,” Consolidated Appropriations Act, 2005, Pub. L. No. 108-447, § 116(a), 118 Stat. 3346, and requiring the Federal Government to exercise its power of eminent domain to acquire the Mt. Soledad memorial in order to preserve it for this purpose, Preservation of Mt. Soledad Veterans Memorial, Pub. L. No. 109-272, 120 Stat. 770. Although not admitting the validity of the challenges to these memorials under the Establishment Clause, Congress acted out of a desire both to preserve historically significant monuments

and to honor the sacrifice of U.S. service members. *See generally* 152 CONG. REC. H5244-02, 2006 WL 2009154 (2006). In doing so, members of Congress recognized that the crosses at issue were “not only . . . religious symbol[s],” but also “venerated landmark[s].” *Id.*

## 2. *Other Crosses*

The Federal Government uses or supports the use of crosses as symbols of courage, sacrifice, and remembrance in a variety of other contexts.

In addition to those already described, numerous memorials that incorporate crosses are located on federal property within the jurisdiction of the Fourth Circuit. They include several honoring those who fought to preserve the Union during the Civil War. The City Point National Cemetery in Hopewell, Virginia, contains the Army of the James Monument. *City Point National Cemetery*, NATIONAL CEMETERY ADMINISTRATION, <http://goo.gl/28BKQw>. That monument depicts a cross and is inscribed “Sacred to the Lamented Dead of the Army of the James.” *Id.* The Winchester National Cemetery in Winchester, Virginia, contains several monuments that incorporate the symbol of the 19th Army Corps: a cross pattée. *See Winchester National Cemetery*, NATIONAL CEMETERY ADMINISTRATION, <http://goo.gl/TCvPwf>; *3d Massachusetts Cavalry Regiment*, STONE SENTINELS, <https://goo.gl/bu9tms>; *12th Connecticut Volunteer Infantry Regiment*, STONE SENTINELS, <https://goo.gl/GNzQvn>; *13th Connecticut Volunteer Infantry Regiment*, STONE SENTINELS, <https://goo.gl/ubTNU7>. Also located in Winchester National Cemetery is a monument “[e]rected by the

survivors of the Sixth Army Corps” to Brigadier General David A. Russell, who was killed in action at Opequon, Virginia during the Civil War. *Sixth Army Corps*, STONE SENTINELS, <https://goo.gl/FfdhVL>. It incorporates red, white, and blue crosses representing the First, Second, and Third Divisions of the Corps. *Id.*

On Chaplains Hill in Arlington National Cemetery, four monuments employing religious symbols, including crosses, are “dedicated to the memory of chaplains who have served in the United States Armed Forces.” *Chaplains Hill and Monuments*, ARLINGTON NATIONAL CEMETERY, <http://goo.gl/GwF7B4>. They are inscribed with religious language, including the following passage from the Bible, *John* 15:13: “Greater Love Hath No Man Than This, That A Man Lay Down His Life For His Friends.” *Id.* The Spanish-American War Nurses Monument in Arlington National Cemetery incorporates a Maltese Cross. *Spanish-American War Nurses Monument*, ARLINGTON NATIONAL CEMETERY, <http://goo.gl/xQruDR>. Dedicated “To Our Comrades,” it rests among the graves of nurses who lost their lives during that war. *Id.*

A national memorial honoring fallen firefighters, located on federal property in Emmitsburg, Maryland, is likewise topped by a Maltese Cross, the traditional symbol of the fire service. See Federal Fallen Firefighters’ Memorial, 1990, Pub. L. No. 101-347, 104 Stat. 398; *National Fallen Firefighters Memorial*, NATIONAL FALLEN FIREFIGHTERS FOUNDATION, <http://goo.gl/1HbqAW>. Finally, the Cape Henry Memorial Cross, a Latin cross, stands in the Colonial National Historical Park

in Virginia as a tribute to the English colonists who landed there in 1607 and erected a wooden cross “in prayer for a safe arrival to this new land.” *Cape Henry Memorial Cross*, NATIONAL PARK SERVICE, <https://goo.gl/pBrYnJ>.

The federal government’s use of a cross is not limited to monuments. As a symbol of valor and sacrifice, it also figures in many military traditions. Military traditions are uniquely jeopardized by the decision below, as the Pentagon and numerous military bases are located within the Fourth Circuit.

Numerous medals of valor take the form of a cross, a chivalric tradition dating to the middle ages, which was adopted in this country in the wake of WWI. *See* 10 U.S.C. § 3742 (Distinguished Service Cross); 10 U.S.C. § 6242 (Navy Cross); 10 U.S.C. § 6245 (Distinguished Flying Cross); *Coast Guard Cross*, THE INSTITUTE OF HERALDRY, DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY (on file with counsel) (“The Cross stands for personal sacrifice.”); CA JA1945 (noting that cross-shaped medals were adopted after WWI). A national memorial erected to honor the recipients of the Distinguished Flying Cross, naturally, is a cross. *See* Distinguished Flying Cross National Memorial Act, 2014, Pub. L. No. 113-132, 128 Stat. 1727.

Sometimes as a reference to these medals, and sometimes for other reasons, military units and naval ships adopt crests or insignia that incorporate crosses. Many ships, for example, incorporate crosses into their crests to symbolize a medal for valor awarded to their namesake. *See, e.g., USS Arleigh Burke*, THE INSTITUTE OF HERALDRY, DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY (on file with counsel); *Command Crest, USS MASON*, U.S. NAVY, <http://goo.gl/3Rar29>. Similarly, the crest of

the USS Pearl Harbor includes a gold cross on a dark blue field to “commemorate the fifty-two Navy cross awardees, the largest number of awards for any single engagement in U.S. history.” *USS Pearl Harbor*, THE INSTITUTE OF HERALDRY, DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY (on file with counsel).

Alternatively, a cross might refer to an engagement in which the unit or a predecessor ship served. For example, the Third Cavalry Regiment’s Coat of Arms incorporates a white cross on a green background as a reference to the unit’s first engagement, the Battle of Veracruz during the Mexican-American War. *3d Cavalry Regiment*, THE INSTITUTE OF HERALDRY, DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY (on file with counsel). The Fifth Cavalry Regiment’s insignia incorporates a cross moline, which represents the iron pieces of a mill stone, to commemorate its charge at Gaines Mills during the Civil War. *5th Cavalry Regiment*, THE INSTITUTE OF HERALDRY, DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY (on file with counsel). The USS Cape St. George, named for “the classic sea battle at Cape St. George in the World War II Pacific Theater,” incorporates the cross of St. George into its seal. *USS Cape St. George*, THE INSTITUTE OF HERALDRY, DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY (on file with counsel).

Sometimes, these crosses have an explicitly religious derivation. The crest of the USS Rentz incorporated a cross in memory of its namesake, Chaplain George Rentz. Commander Rentz sacrificed his life during WWII when a Japanese attack on the USS Houston caused it to sink:

Rentz . . . reached safety on . . . the spare main float [of an airplane]. [Finding it]



dangerously overloaded and seeing wounded survivors, some without life jackets struggling to remain afloat, he determined to relinquish both his life jacket and his place on the float . . . Finding no one who would let him carry out his wish, however, Chaplain Rentz remained with his Shipmates . . . , encouraging them with hymns and prayers . . . Ultimately, he succeeded in placing his life jacket by a wounded sailor . . . before slipping away into the sea . . . .”

*USS Rentz, Honoring 30 Years of Naval Service and the History Behind Its Namesake*, THE OFFICIAL BLOG OF THE U.S. NAVY CHAPLAIN CORPS (on file with counsel). The motto of the ship, “Dread Nought,” “tells all to have no fear for the ship is watched over by higher powers.” *Id.* Although the USS Rentz has since been decommissioned, its traditions are a testament to the importance of permitting the use of religious symbols that have taken on historical significance, even if they derive from an “inherently religious” reference.

In the civilian context, a red Greek Cross is a ubiquitous sign of medical aid and has long been designated as such under international law. *See* Geneva Convention (I) for the Amelioration of the Condition of the Wounded in Armies in the Field, art. 7, Aug. 22, 1864 (designating the red cross as the “distinctive and uniform” insignia for “hospitals, ambulances and evacuation parties” on the battlefield). The American National Red Cross is a “Federally chartered instrumentality of the United

States,” 36 U.S.C. § 300101(a), that provides disaster relief, support for military families, public health services, and humanitarian aid. *What We Do*, AMERICAN RED CROSS, <http://goo.gl/f1lt5r>. As Respondents’ expert acknowledged, its symbol has religious origins. See CA JA190. Yet the American Red Cross continues to employ it, even though it considers the appearance of impartiality and neutrality between religions to be critical to its mission of “prevent[ing] and alleviat[ing] human suffering in the face of emergencies,” *Mission & Values*, AMERICAN RED CROSS, <http://goo.gl/qVW5FY>.

### 3. *Other Religious Symbols and Traditions*

The federal government also uses “inherently religious” symbols or words apart from a cross, all of which would be jeopardized by the absolutist, ahistorical vision of the Establishment Clause reflected in the decision below.

In addition to the memorials already mentioned, Arlington National Cemetery includes others with religious language. In the Tomb of the Unknown Soldier, there “RESTS IN HONORED GLORY AN AMERICAN SOLDIER KNOWN BUT TO GOD.” *The Tomb of the Unknown Soldier*, ARLINGTON NATIONAL CEMETERY, <http://goo.gl/iX2BAZ>. The poem High Flight is inscribed on the Space Shuttle Challenger Memorial. This poem, from which President Ronald Reagan quoted in his seminal address following the explosion of the Space Shuttle Challenger, ends with the following lines: “[A]nd while with silent, lifting mind I’ve trod/ the high untrespassed sanctity of space/ put out my hand, and touched the face of God.” *Space*

*Shuttle Challenger Memorial*, ARLINGTON NATIONAL CEMETERY, <http://goo.gl/0lpn1j>. A bronze plaque “To The Glory Of God And In Grateful Remembrance Of The Men And Women Of The Armed Forces Who In This Century Gave Their Lives For Our Country That Freedom Might Live” was added to the Spanish-American War Monument in 1964. *Spanish-American War Monument*, ARLINGTON NATIONAL CEMETERY, <http://goo.gl/9zp5dn>.

Just a few miles from Arlington, the steps of the U.S. Navy Memorial in Washington, D.C., are engraved with the words of the “Navy Hymn,” which beseeches the “Eternal Father” to “hear us when we cry to Thee/ For those in peril on the sea!” That hymn was played by the U.S. Navy Band as President John F. Kennedy’s body was carried up the steps of the U.S. Capitol to lie in state and continues to be sung at the U.S. Naval Academy in Annapolis, Maryland, which is located within the jurisdiction of the Fourth Circuit. *Eternal Father—The “Navy Hymn,”* U.S. NAVY, <http://goo.gl/t9zSm6>.<sup>4</sup> Also in Washington, D.C., the National Law Enforcement Officers Memorial incorporates “inspiring quotes that capture the spirit of the heroic men and women who are [there] honored,” including the following passage from the Bible, *Proverbs* 28:1: “The wicked flee when no man pursueth: but the righteous are as bold as a lion.”

---

<sup>4</sup> Religious songs are commonly performed by the military in state funerals. One popular hymn is the “Battle Hymn of the Republic,” which includes such overtly religious language as: “In the beauty of the lilies Christ was born across the sea,/ With a glory in His bosom that transfigures you and me;/ As He died to make men holy, let us die to make men free,/ While God is marching on!” See, e.g., *Ronald Reagan Funeral Service*, C-SPAN, <http://goo.gl/tluEDW>.

*Carved on These Walls*, NATIONAL LAW ENFORCEMENT OFFICERS MEMORIAL FUND, <http://goo.gl/3B5Tr>. And of course, the Lincoln Memorial is carved with the immortal words of President Lincoln's Second Inaugural Address, in which he "pray[s]" "ferverently . . . that this mighty scourge of war may speedily pass away," but calls on the Nation to accept the Lord's judgment as "true and righteous altogether." *Lincoln's Second Inaugural*, NATIONAL PARK SERVICE, <https://goo.gl/hhmJc1>.

Congress has appointed or preserved chapels at the public expense. *See, e.g.*, An Act To Establish the Channel Islands National Park, and for Other Purposes, 1980, Pub. L. No. 96-199, § 106, 94 Stat. 67 (authorizing the Secretary of the Interior "to make suitable arrangements for . . . the [Palmer Chapel] to continue to be used for memorial purposes by former residents and their descendants"). Indeed, there is a special prayer room in the U.S. Capitol itself. This room contains a Bible and overtly sectarian imagery and language, including a depiction of "President Washington kneeling in prayer; around him is etched the first verse of the 16th Psalm: 'Preserve me, O God, for in Thee do I put my trust.'" *Allegheny*, 492 U.S. at 672 (Kennedy, J., concurring in part and dissenting in part).

Outside of these sacred rooms, Federal buildings are decorated with religious symbols. The CIA Old Headquarters Building, in Langley, Virginia, features words from *John* 8:32: "And ye shall know the truth and the truth shall make you free." *Bible Quote Carving*, CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE AGENCY, <https://goo.gl/D6WtUn>. These words were added at the insistence of former Director Allen Dulles, who

was the son of a Presbyterian minister, and have since become the Agency's motto. *Id.* The words "In God We Trust" appear in or at the entrance to both chambers of Congress. See *Engel v. Vitale*, 370 U.S. 421, 440 n.5 (1962) (Douglas, J., concurring); *Allegheny*, 492 U.S. at 673 (Kennedy, J.). The courtroom of this Court famously depicts Moses, Mohammed, Confucius, and the Ten Commandments. *Id.* at 652–53 & n.13 (Stevens, J., concurring in part and dissenting in part). The walls of the Library of Congress are adorned with passages from the Bible. *On These Walls*, LIBRARY OF CONGRESS, <https://goo.gl/z12VKc>. The Great Hall of the U.S. Department of Justice features a commissioned painting of Jesus Christ—a subject selected under the advice of Justice Harlan Fiske Stone and Dean Roscoe Pound. U.S. DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE, THE ROBERT F. KENNEDY BUILDING 76, available at <https://goo.gl/jZxe8R>; see also *Lynch*, 465 U.S. at 676–77 (describing religious artwork in national galleries as examples of permissible religious displays).

The Federal Government's recognition of religion extends beyond these physical displays. Our national motto, which appears on our currency, is "In God We Trust." 36 U.S.C. § 302; 31 U.S.C. § 5112. Our national anthem proclaims that "In God is our Trust." 36 U.S.C. § 301(a). We pledge allegiance to "one Nation under God." 4 U.S.C. § 4. Presidents since George Washington, as well as countless other officials, have taken their oath of office on the Bible, *Lee v. Weisman*, 505 U.S. 577, 633 (1992) (Scalia, J., dissenting), frequently adding the words "so help me God," *McCreary Cty.*, 545 U.S. at 886 (Scalia, J., dissenting). Congress has recognized Christmas and Thanksgiving as federal holidays, 5 U.S.C. § 6103, and

the President has repeatedly issued proclamations commemorating other religiously significant events, *see Lynch*, 465 U.S. at 677 & n.5. Each year, the Federal government erects Christmas displays around the Capital and in federal buildings across the nation.

Prayer has played a particularly significant role in our national traditions and in all three branches of government. The day after the First Amendment was proposed, the First Congress asked President Washington to proclaim “a day of public thanksgiving and prayer.” *Lynch*, 465 U.S. at 675 n.2. Today, codified statutes direct the President to proclaim a National Day of Prayer, 36 U.S.C. § 119, and request a Memorial Day proclamation “calling on the people of the United States to observe Memorial day by praying, according to their individual religious faith, for permanent peace,” 36 U.S.C. § 116(b)(1). In support of the practice of legislative prayer, “[t]he First Congress made it an early item of business to appoint and pay official chaplains, and both the House and Senate have maintained the office virtually uninterrupted since that time.” *Town of Greece*, 134 S. Ct. at 1818. Federal courts likewise open sittings with a prayer that dates to Chief Justice Marshall’s days, asking that “God Save the United States and this Honorable Court.” *McCreary Cty.*, 545 U.S. at 886 (Scalia, J.).

Examples could be multiplied. “It can be truly said . . . that today, as in the beginning, our national life reflects a religious people.” *School Dist. of Abington Twp. v. Schempp*, 374 U.S. 203, 213 (1963). The decision below would jeopardize that life and finds no place in the Establishment Clause, which, as

this Court has repeatedly made clear, does not displace but respects our religious heritage.

**CONCLUSION**

For the above reasons, this Court should grant the petitions and reverse the judgment of the Fourth Circuit.

July 27, 2018

Respectfully submitted,

CHARLES J. COOPER  
*Counsel of Record*  
DAVID H. THOMPSON  
HALEY N. PROCTOR  
COOPER & KIRK, PLLC  
1523 New Hampshire  
Avenue, N.W.  
Washington, D.C. 20036  
(202) 220-9600  
ccooper@cooperkirk.com

*Counsel for Amici Curiae*

**APPENDIX A – COMPLETE LIST OF *AMICI CURIAE***

**I. United States Senators (24)**

Ted Cruz, lead Senate <i>amicus curiae</i>	James Inhofe
John Barrasso	Lindsey O. Graham
Roy Blunt	Chuck Grassley
John Boozman	Orrin G. Hatch
Shelley Moore Capito	John Kennedy
Bill Cassidy	James Lankford
John Cornyn	Joe Manchin III
Tom Cotton	James E. Risch
Mike Crapo	M. Michael Rounds
Steve Daines	Marco Rubio
Michael B. Enzi	Thom Tillis
Joni K. Ernst	Roger Wicker

**II. Members of the United States House of Representatives (85)**

Steve Scalise, lead House <i>amicus curiae</i>	Marsha Blackburn
Ralph Abraham, M.D.	Dave Brat
Robert B. Aderholt	Michael C. Burgess, M.D.
Rick W. Allen	Bradley Byrne
Jodey C. Arrington	Steve Chabot
Brian Babon, D.D.S.	Chris Collins
Jim Banks	Doug Collins
Lou Barletta	K. Michael Conaway
Andy Barr	Scott DesJarlais, M.D.
Joe Barton	Jeff Duncan
Andy Biggs	John J. Duncan, Jr.
Mike Bishop	Neal P. Dunn, M.D.
Rob Bishop	Tom Emmer
	Chuck Fleishmann



Bill Flores	Billy Long
Matt Gaetz	Barry Loudermilk
Greg Gianforte	Thomas Massie
Bob Gibbs	Tom McClintock
Louie Gohmert	Mark Meadows
Paul Gosar, D.D.S.	Paul Mitchell
Garret Graves	Ralph Norman
Tom Graves	Pete Olson
Glenn Grothman	Steven M. Palazzo
Brett Guthrie	Steve Pearce
Andy Harris, M.D.	Robert Pittenger
Vicky Hartzler	Bill Posey
Jody Hice	John Ratcliffe
Clay Higgins	Tom Rice
Richard Hudson	David P. Roe, M.D.
Bill Huizenga	Todd Rokita
Randy M. Hultgren	Keith Rothfus
Darrell Issa	David Rouzer
Evan H. Jenkins	Steve Russell
Mike Johnson	F. James Sensenbrenner
Sam Johnson	Christopher H. Smith
Walter B. Jones	Jason Smith
Jim Jordan	Mark Walker
Mike Kelly	Jackie Walorski
Steve King	Randy K. Weber
Doug LaMalfa	Daniel Webster
Doug Lamborn	Brad Wenstrup
Robert E. Latta	Bruce Westerman
Debbie Lesko	Ted S. Yoho, DVM